



NEWS RELEASE

N.J. Election Law Enforcement Commission

Contact:

FREDERICK M. HERRMANN, Ph.D. *FMH*
Executive Director
(609) 292-8700

For Release: IMMEDIATELY
May 29, 1987

State Senate and Assembly candidates in the 1987 June primary have raised \$2,231,246 and spent \$1,096,628 on their campaigns through May 22, 1987, according to figures released by the New Jersey Election Law Enforcement Commission.

In a statement made today, Frederick M. Herrmann, Executive Director of the Commission, said that the 11-day preelection reports indicate that candidates for the State Senate raised 50 percent of the total funds collected by all legislative candidates, or \$1,115,000. He also said that 51 percent of the expenditures made by such candidates, or \$560,015, were attributed to Senate candidates.

Herrmann said that Assembly candidates raised \$1,116,245 and spent \$536,613. The first figure represents a 64 percent increase in contributions over 1985. There was a 61 percent increase in expenditures from that year. Assembly candidates received \$714,386 and spent \$328,655 by this time two years ago.

Comparisons to 1985 for Senate candidates cannot be made because there was no State Senate election in that year.

"Overall, Democratic candidates have raised more money than Republicans, \$1,169,567 to \$1,061,678," said Herrmann. Moreover, Democrats have outspent Republicans by \$881. The Democrats spent \$548,754 and the Republicans spent \$547,873.

According to Herrmann, the Senate candidates in the Democratic primary raised \$600,840 in contributions and spent \$280,124. Their counterparts in the Republican primary received \$514,160 and expended \$279,890.

Democratic Assembly candidates raised \$568,727 and spent \$268,630. Assembly Republicans raised \$547,518 and expended \$267,982.

"Spending by Republican Assembly candidates," said Herrmann, "increased by 143 percent over 1985 levels (\$109,956). Assembly Democrats increased their spending by 23 percent over the 1985 level (\$218,699)."

A profile of campaign receipts and expenditures shows that \$1,542,894, or 69 percent, has been raised by incumbents and \$688,352, or 31 percent, by challengers. Incumbents have outspent challengers \$610,673 to \$485,955. There are a total of 105 incumbent candidates running in the primary, 40 of those incumbents are Senate candidates while 65 incumbents are running for the Assembly.

Incumbent Senate candidates received \$800,229 or 72 percent of the \$1,115,00 in contributions made to all Senate candidates, compared to \$314,771 that went to challengers. These same incumbents have spent \$325,013 to the \$235,001 spent by Senate challengers.

Herrmann added that in the Assembly, contributions to incumbents have increased by 37 percent over 1985 while spending by incumbents has increased 23 percent. Contributions to challengers have increased by 34 percent over 1985 while their spending has risen by 56 percent.

He said that Assembly incumbents raised \$742,664 in 1987 compared to \$466,954 in 1985. They have spent \$285,659 this year compared to \$218,913 in 1985. Challengers for the Assembly received \$373,581 compared to \$247,432 two years ago and spent \$250,953 compared to \$109,741 in 1985.

###

Attached are the top 20 Senate candidates in terms of receipts and expenditures reported as of May 22, 1987 as well as the top 20 Assembly candidates. There are also similar lists for the top twenty Assembly candidates from the 1985 race. Moreover, lists are provided for additional primary committees that did not fit into the Senate/Assembly categories but received or spent enough money to have warranted their inclusion in the Senate/Assembly top twenty lists.

The next set of reports, the 20-day postelection report, is due at the Commission on June 22, 1987.

The figures contained in this press release have been taken from candidate or committee reports on file with the Commission as of 5:00 p.m. on May 22, 1987. Figures from reports or corrections to reports filed after that date are not included.

This press release is merely a compilation of figures reported to the Commission, and is not intended to express any opinion concerning the accuracy or completeness of any filed report. Further, although the Commission has taken all reasonable precautions to prevent mathematical or typographical errors, the possibility that some exist cannot be entirely eliminated. Copies of any report on file with the Commission are available for inspection during regular office hours.

APPENDIX

1987 Senate Candidates
29 & 11-Day Reports
Top Twenty Receivers

<u>Incumbent</u>	<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Amount</u>
	1	Mancuso	25	R	\$107,412.00
X	2	Bassano	21	R	\$100,960.87
	3	Pozycki	18	D	\$ 85,454.80
X	4	Russo	10	D	\$ 84,808.97
X	5	Gagliano	12	R	\$ 79,880.10
X	6	Graves	35	D	\$ 74,240.00
X	7	Contillo	38	D	\$ 56,890.06
X	8	Hurley	1	R	\$ 55,584.88
X	9	Codey	27	D	\$ 53,090.00
X	10	Dorsey	25	R	\$ 51,998.00
X	11	Jackman	33	D	\$ 51,119.73
X	12	Cowan	32	D	\$ 50,038.94
X	13	Rand	5	D	\$ 31,000.00
	14	Azzolina	13	R	\$ 26,549.99
	15	MacInnes	25	D	\$ 21,917.00
X	16	Garibaldi	18	R	\$ 21,451.04
X	17	Van Wagner	13	D	\$ 16,985.80
	18	Donovan	36	R	\$ 15,140.00
X	19	Dumont	24	R	\$ 12,669.46
X	20	Rice	28	D	\$ 12,430.00

Top Twenty Spenders

<u>Incumbent</u>	<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Amount</u>
	1	Mancuso	25	R	\$101,958.95
X	2	Russo	10	D	\$ 77,333.13
	3	Pozycki	18	D	\$ 68,826.33
X	4	Hurley	1	R	\$ 39,152.26
X	5	Dorsey	25	R	\$ 37,461.78
X	6	Graves	35	D	\$ 29,444.21
X	7	Gagliano	12	R	\$ 25,960.85
X	8	Bassano	21	R	\$ 18,779.94
X	9	Jackman	33	D	\$ 16,395.00
X	10	Garibaldi	18	R	\$ 16,327.17
X	11	Cowan	32	D	\$ 16,008.94
X	12	Rice	28	D	\$ 12,661.58
	13	Paterniti	18	D	\$ 9,701.02
	14	MacInnes	25	D	\$ 9,445.66
	15	Blomquist	10	R	\$ 8,591.86
	16	Donovan	36	R	\$ 7,306.00
	17	Cuprowski	32	R	\$ 6,682.38
X	18	Van Wagner	13	D	\$ 6,280.80
X	19	Connors	9	R	\$ 5,678.38
	20	Scott	36	R	\$ 5,621.34

APPENDIX

1987 Assembly Candidates
29 & 11-Day Reports
Top Twenty Receivers

<u>Incumbent</u>	<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Amount</u>
	1	Moeller	23	R	\$59,615.00
X	2	Zangari	28	D	\$57,589.31
	3	Mironov	14	D	\$46,163.44
X	4	Kelly	30	R	\$40,815.00
	5	Kenny	33	D	\$38,210.00
X	6	Girgenti	35	D	\$37,926.81
X	7	Brown	29	D	\$37,250.00
X	8	Ogden	22	R	\$35,765.24
X	9	Bennett	12	R	\$34,650.00
	10	Menendez	33	D	\$33,039.00
X	11	Loveys	26	R	\$32,570.00
	12	Schluter	23	R	\$31,915.00
X	13	Frelinghuysen	25	R	\$29,111.85
X	14	Franks	22	R	\$27,172.00
X	15	Riley	4	D	\$26,989.54
X	16	Friends of Doria	31	D	\$26,200.00
X	17	Deverin	20	D	\$25,557.76
X	18	Martin	26	R	\$24,990.00
	19	Bettinger	32	D	\$24,250.00
X	20	Genova	21	R	\$23,946.75

Top Twenty Spenders

<u>Incumbent</u>	<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Amount</u>
	1	Moeller	23	R	\$57,499.14
	2	Mironov	14	D	\$38,605.17
	3	Schulter	23	R	\$26,794.61
X	4	Friends of Doria	31	D	\$26,200.00
X	5	Franks	22	R	\$24,126.79
	6	Bettinger	32	D	\$21,114.99
X	7	Bennett	12	R	\$15,575.43
X	8	Doria	31	D	\$14,612.50
X	9	Kelly	30	R	\$14,307.75
X	10	Charles	31	D	\$13,415.00
	11	Kenny	33	D	\$12,763.00
X	12	Loveys	26	R	\$12,172.07
X	13	Girgenti	35	D	\$11,102.78
X	14	Zangari	28	D	\$10,584.94
X	15	Kline	2	R	\$10,252.43
X	16	Pelly	18	D	\$ 9,701.02
	16	Spadoro	18	D	\$ 9,701.02
X	18	Haytaian	24	R	\$ 9,239.36
	19	Maraziti	23	R	\$ 8,728.72
X	20	Genova	21	R	\$ 8,297.46

APPENDIX

1987 Additional Primary Committees

29 & 11-Day Reports

Significant Receivers

<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Amount</u>
1	Committee to Re-elect Russo, Doyle, Ford	10	D	\$136,360.00
2	The Greenwald Team	6	D	44,900.00
3	Dumont, Littell, Haytaian Committee	24	R	34,415.00
4	First District First Committee	1	D	32,197.18

Significant Spenders

<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Amount</u>
1	First District First Committee	1	D	\$ 9,633.99

APPENDIX

1985 Assembly Candidates
29 & 11-Day Reports

Top Twenty Receivers

<u>Incumbent</u>	<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Amount</u>
	1	Morrissy	27	D	\$33,799.77
X	2	Girgenti	35	D	29,175.00
X	3	Bryant	5	D	27,141.06
X	4	Pellecchia	35	D	24,375.00
X	5	Aubato, Jr.	30	D	23,410.00
X	6	Cit. For Frelinghuysen	25	R	22,031.00
X	7	Deverin	20	D	20,549.00
X	8	Gorman/Bryant	5	D	20,200.00
X	9	Franks	22	R	18,030.00
X	10	Martin	26	R	17,770.00
X	11	McEnroe	27	D	17,484.29
X	12	Littell	24	R	16,986.50
X	13	Ogden	22	R	15,561.93
X	14	Hardwick	21	R	15,440.32
X	15	Doria/Charles	31	D	15,375.00
X	16	Doria	31	D	15,304.18
X	17	Ranieri	33	D	14,908.28
	18	Azzolina	13	R	13,350.00
X	19	Rocco/Shusted	6	R	13,269.00
X	20	Marsella	4	D	12,825.33

Top Twenty Spenders

<u>Incumbent</u>	<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Amount</u>
	1	Morrissy	27	D	\$32,706.51
X	2	McEnroe	27	D	14,115.91
X	3	Franks	22	R	12,120.27
X	4	Cuprowski	32	D	10,534.52
X	5	Bryant	5	D	10,470.78
X	6	Pellecchia	35	D	10,461.70
X	7	Doyle	10	D	10,046.17
X	8	Ford	10	D	10,046.16
X	9	Girgenti	35	D	10,016.88
X	10	Ranieri	33	D	9,917.44
X	11	Hardwick	21	R	9,652.94
X	12	Rod	9	R	9,288.85
X	13	Doria	31	D	7,710.54
	14	Karns	27	D	7,285.00
X	15	Deverin	20	D	7,174.72
X	16	Chinnici	1	R	7,169.48
X	16	Muziani	1	R	7,169.48
X	18	Otlowski	19	D	6,415.74
X	19	Littell	24	R	6,320.88
X	20	Felice	40	R	6,156.80