INDEPENDENT SPENDING IN LEGISLATIVE PRIMARY ELECTION IS MOST EVER;
PRIMARY ENDS WITH LOTS OF LEFTOVER FUNDS

Independent special interest committees spent $2.2 million† on the 2017 legislative primary, a new high, according to reports filed 20 days after the June 6 election with the New Jersey Election Law Enforcement Commission (ELEC).

“While the amounts are much smaller compared to independent spending in general elections, we are now witnessing a steady surge of independent spending in primary races. The 2017 total is double the total from 2013,” said Jeff Brindle, ELEC’s executive director.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Candidates</th>
<th>Independents</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% Independents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$27,665,721</td>
<td>$2,160,923†</td>
<td>$29,826,644†</td>
<td>7%†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$12,527,364</td>
<td>$924,723</td>
<td>$13,452,087</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$22,153,242</td>
<td>$635,354</td>
<td>$22,788,596</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$27,258,440</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$27,258,440</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

He pointed out that in 2011, independent groups spent $1.8 million in the general election—more than $360,000 less than this year’s primary†.

Two years ago, independent groups spent $10.7 million in the general election with just Assembly members running—about 11 times more than they did during primary†. If general election spending increases by the same proportion this year, independent spending on legislative races alone could top $22 million†.

“This is another sign that independent spending is taking on a larger role in New Jersey elections,” said Brindle. “The sooner the Legislature broadens disclosure requirements for these groups, the sooner the public will have a complete picture of the role these groups are playing.”

--more--
Based largely on the bipartisan recommendations of ELEC, both parties have introduced legislation that would require far broader disclosure by independent groups that participate in New Jersey elections.

During the 2017 primary, three districts- 3, 24 and 26- drew the heaviest concentration of independent spending.

Table 2  
Independent Spending in Legislative Primary Elections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Committee</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>Statewide</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Jerseyans for a Better Tomorrow†</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$825,043</td>
<td>$825,043†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garden State Forward</td>
<td>$317,800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$640,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stronger Foundations Inc (Operating Engineers)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$73,300</td>
<td></td>
<td>$211,800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$285,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growing Economic Opportunities (Laborers)†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$158,491†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$158,491†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Association of Realtors Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td>$81,026</td>
<td></td>
<td>$36,455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$117,481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better Education for Kids Inc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$80,72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$80,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Government Voter Education</td>
<td>$36,058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$36,058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ Coalition of Real Estate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey Family First</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$1,142,843†</td>
<td>$36,058</td>
<td></td>
<td>$154,326</td>
<td></td>
<td>$80,72</td>
<td>$2,450</td>
<td>$322,774</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reports filed by legislative candidates showed that they completed the 2017 primary with $14.8 million in reserves, one of the largest bounties ever left over to roll into fall legislative elections.

Table 3  
Campaign Finance by Legislative Candidates in 2017 Primary Election Versus Earlier Elections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Raised</th>
<th>Spent</th>
<th>Reserves*</th>
<th>Elections?**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$34,875,842</td>
<td>$27,665,721</td>
<td>$14,774,600</td>
<td>G/S/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$14,661,031</td>
<td>$12,527,364</td>
<td>$6,644,378</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$28,111,870</td>
<td>$22,153,242</td>
<td>$13,398,825</td>
<td>G/S/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$34,165,804</td>
<td>$27,258,440</td>
<td>$13,698,365</td>
<td>S/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cash-on-Hand or Transferred to General Election **G=Gubernatorial, S=Senate, A=Assembly

Not adjusting for inflation, the $14.8 million in post-primary reserves is a new high. The 2011 total adjusted for inflation is slightly larger ($14.9 million).

Democrats control 24 of 40 seats in the Senate, and 52 of 80 seats in the Assembly. They have more than a two-to-one advantage over Republicans in total reserves. Most cash-on-hand is expected to be included in funds transferred to the fall general election.

Table 4  
Party Reserves as of June 23, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Cash-On-Hand</th>
<th>Transferred to General</th>
<th>Total Reserves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Democrats</td>
<td>$6,199,491</td>
<td>$5,494,019</td>
<td>$11,693,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republicans</td>
<td>$1,014,204</td>
<td>$2,066,886</td>
<td>$3,081,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both Parties</td>
<td>$7,213,695</td>
<td>$7,560,905</td>
<td>$14,774,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“The majority party usually has an edge in fund-raising, and this year is no exception,’’ Brindle said. He noted that in recent legislative elections, Democrats also have benefited from support from independent special interest committees. Brindle added, however, that “this advantage can change from year to year.”

“While we still can expect major skirmishes in a handful of so-called battleground districts, Democrats clearly are in strong financial position to defend their majorities,” he said.

The numbers in this report should be considered preliminary. The analysis is based on legislative fundraising reports received by 5 pm. on June 29, 2017.

###